PATENT 4838-001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

te Application of

Johann MÄNNER et al. : Confirmation No. N/A

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/560,834 : Group Art Unit: N/A

Filed: December 15, 2005 : Examiner: N/A

For: CELLULOSIC FIBRE OF THE LYOCELL TYPE

TRANSMITTAL OF PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Attached is a copy of the Preliminary Examination Report regarding the above identified application.

Respectfully submitted,

LOWE HAUPTMAN & BERNER, LLP

Kenneth M. Berner Registration No. 37,093

1700 Diagonal Road, Suite 310 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (703) 684-1111 KMB/cac Facsimile: (703) 518-5499

Date: January 3, 2007

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference L 380-7639/zi	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/AT2004/000441	International filing date (day/month/year) 15 December 2004 (15.12.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 13 January 2004 (13.01.2004)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant LENZING AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT				

	1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
	2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
		Box No. I	Basis of the report			
		Вох №. П	Priority			
		Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
		Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
		Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
		Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
		Box No. VΠ	Certain defects in the international application			
		Box No. VΠI	Certain observations on the international application			
₹	4.	The International Bureau will c not, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority			

	Date of issuance of this report 18 October 2006 (18.10.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: ptl1@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the	NAL OF ADOUTING ATTRIBUT) (P) (P) (P) (P) (P) (P) (P) (P) (P) (P		TANA	
To:	VAL SEARCHING AUTHOR			PCT PTON	
				RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
······································			Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See Form PCT/ISA/210 (sheet 2)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER A		
	7639/zi			See paragraph 2 below	
International ap	plication No. 2004/000441	International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 13.01.2004	
	tent Classification (IPC) or bot	h national classification an	d IPC		
D01 F2,	/00, D01 F2/02				
Applicant					
	G AKTIENGESELL	SCHART			
	·	JCIA: 1			
k 🧩 Jhis c	spinion contains indications rela	ting to the following items	5:		
	Box No. I Basis of the	opinion			
	Box No. II Priority				
	Box No. III Non-establi	shment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability -	
		ty of invention			
\boxtimes		tatement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> y: citations and explanation		novelty, inventive step or industrial ement	
	Box No. VI Certain doc	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII Certain def	ects in the international app	plication		
\boxtimes	Box No. VIII Certain obs	ervations on the internatio	nal application		
If a c Intern than t	lational Preliminary Examining	Authority ("IPEA") except chosen IPEA has notified	ot that this does not ap I the International Bur	If he considered to be a written opinion of the ply where the applicant chooses an Authority other eau under Rule 66.1 <i>bis</i> (b) that written opinions of	
If this writte	s opinion is, as provided above	considered to be a writte priate, with amendments.	en opinion of the IPE/ before the expiration	A, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form expires later	
	orther options, see Form PCT/IS	_	,	,	
3. For fi	wther details, see notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mail	ing address of the ISA/EP		Authorized officer		
Facsimile No.			Talanka		
r acommic INO.			Telephone No.		

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AT2004/000441

Box	x No. 1	Basis of this opinion
1.	With filed,	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	_	which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With inven	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	,	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addit	tional comments:
		\cdot

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/AT2004/000441

Box	No. V	Reasoned stateme citations and expla	nt under Ru anations suj	ule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; pporting such statement	
1.	Statement				
	Novelty	'(N)	Claims		YES
			Claims	1-9	NO
	Inventiv	re step (IS)	Claims		YES
			Claims	1-9	NO NO
	Industri	al applicability (IA)	Claims	1-9	YES
			Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations:

The subject matter of the application is not novel over the teaching of EP-A-0 494 851 (D1) or US-A-5 543 101 (D2).

The lyocell fibres disclosed in D1, examples 1 and 2, are characterized by a ratio V (FFk:FDk) of 1.46 or 1.13: that is, below the limiting value (2.2) defined in claim 1.

Claim 1 does not define the conditioning requirements for the fibres according to the invention and said requirements are only very vaguely indicated in the description (see page 4) (see also Box VIII). Therefore, said requirements cannot be considered as a distinguishing feature in evaluating novelty.

The products described in D2, examples 32-41 (cf. table 3), are also prejudicial to the novelty of the application for the same reason. The lyocell fibres described in these examples (V <2.2) can be characterized as "conditioned" in the broadest sense.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/AT2004/000441

Box No. VIII

Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claim 1 does not satisfy the criterion of clarity stipulated in PCT Article 6, because the necessary conditioning requirements are not established clearly and unambiguously and therefore the proper scope of protection claimed by claim 1 is not clearly defined.

Although it follows from page 4 that FFk and FDk were determined as per the "test methods" published by BISFA, this Authority is unable to verify in detail the precise nature of these methods. Nor is it clear whether these methods in fact pertain to the required fibre conditioning, in consequence of which an objection to lack of disclosure (cf. PCT Article 5) also appears justified. Contrary to the statement on page 5 (lines under table 1), there is also no evidence that the parameter V <2.2 can be achieved at a titre of ≥ 6 dtex: rather, that this parameter is achievable only at a titre of ≥ 13 dtex (see the data in table 1).

In light of this and in consideration of the teaching of the present application, fibre titre appears to be an essential element of the invention and should therefore be included in claim 1.